

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/037,133	12/27/2001	Esther S. Takeuchi	04645.0896	5648
75	590 09/02/2003			5
Michael F. Scalise			EXAMINER	
Hodgson Russ I Suite 2000	•		CHANEY, CAROL DIANE	
One M&T Plaza Buffalo, NY 14203-2391			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1745	
			DATE MAILED: 09/02/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

:	·		<u> </u>				
		Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/037,133	TAKEUCHI ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Carol Chaney	1745				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE MA - Extension after SI - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure - Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Index of or reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply wind for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, y received by the Office later than three months after the mailing partent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  on the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 E	December 2001 .					
<u> </u>	·	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	n of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□ C	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	☑ Claim(s) <u>25-48</u> is/are rejected.						
7) 🗌 C	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers							
9)∐ Th	e specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s		_					
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)				
.S. Patent and Trad	emark Office		D. A. (Darasalla, E.				

PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Art Unit: 1745

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-24, drawn to a method of making a material, classified in class
   423, subclass 598.
- II. Claims 25-48, drawn to a battery and battery cathode, classified in class429, subclass 219.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product, such a paint pigments.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

----

Art Unit: 1745

During a telephone conversation with Michael Scalise on 11 August 2003 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group II, claims 25-48. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-24 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 25, 27, 28, 31-34, 36, 37, 40, 41, 43, 44, 47, and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Crespi, US Patent 5,221,453.

Crespi discloses methods for forming silver vanadium oxide, cathodes using silver vanadium oxide as the active material, and lithium batteries using the cathodes.

Art Unit: 1745

(Column 1, lines 7-10 and column 2, lines 50-59.) The cathode material can be made by heating silver vanadate (AgVO<sub>3</sub>) and vanadium pentoxide (V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) at 520°C under a flowing argon atmosphere. (Column 2, lines 41-43.) The flowing argon gas atmosphere will have a lower partial pressure of oxygen than ambient air, and therefore is a "reduced oxygen atmosphere". The resulting silver vanadium oxide is mixed with conductive carbon black and binder, to form a cathode. The cathode is put in a cell with a lithium anode to make a nonaqueous battery. (Column 2, lines 50-58.)

Claims 25, 28, 32, 34, and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamamura et al., JP 03 093628.

Yamamura et al. disclose forming silver vanadium oxide cathode materials by mixing metallic silver and vanadium pentoxide in an evacuated quartz tube, or under a flowing inert gas flow. Both the evacuated quartz tube and the flowing inert gas are a "reduced oxygen atmosphere".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

**Art Unit: 1745** 

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 26, 29, 30, 35, 38, 39, 42, 45, and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Crespi et al.

Claims 26, 30, 35, 39, 42, and 46 further limit claims 25, 34, or 40 by specifying specific phases and amounts of the phases of the cathodic active materials. Although Crespi et al. do not recite spcific components of their inventive active materials, the methods for making the products are essentially identical with those of the instant invention. Therefore since similar materials and similar methods are used by both the prior art and the instant invention, the resulting products must inherently also be essentially identical. Alternatively, the products would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art based upon the disclosure of Crespi et al.

Claims 29, 38, and 45 further limit claims 25, 34 and 40 respectively, by reciting a range of oxygen in an "oxygen reduced atmosphere" used to form the cathode material. This is considered to be a limitation to the method of forming the product claimed. The patentability of a product, however, is independent of how it was made. Distinctions between products formed by the methods described by Crespi et al, which do not specify oxygen partial pressures in the reaction environment, and products formed with oxygen contents between 1 and 10% have not been shown. The burden is on

Art Unit: 1745

applicants to show product differences in product by process claims. Ex parte Jungfer 18 USPQ 1796, 1800 (BPAI 1991); Brystol-Myers Co. v. U.S. International Trade Commission 15 USPQ 2d 1258 (Fed. Cir. 1989). In re Thorpe 227 USPQ 964 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Best 195 USPQ 430 (CCPA 1977).

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Bito et al., US Patent 5,194,342

Horne et al., US Patent 6,225,007 B1

disclose methods of making silver vanadium oxides.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carol Chaney whose telephone number is (703) 305-3777. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 703-308-2383. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Art Unit: 1745

Page 7

Carol Chaney '
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1745

cc August 23, 2003